1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 7 TIM McLANAHAN, 8 No. CV-11-390-LRS Plaintiff, 9 ORDER OF DISMISSAL VS. 10 ALL MEDIA, et al. 11 Defendants. 12 13 The pro se Plaintiff has been allowed to file his Complaint in forma 14 pauperis subject to review by the undersigned for legal sufficiency. (ECF No. 3). 15 Nothing recited in the Complaint rises to the standard of stating a plausible 16 claim for relief as required by Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 17 (2007), and Ashcroft v. Igbal, 129 S.Ct. 1937 (2009). Amendment would be futile, 18 the Complaint is frivolous, and accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED** pursuant 19 to 28 U.S.C. Section 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and (ii). 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Executive shall enter judgment 21 accordingly and forward copies of the judgment and this order to Plaintiff. The 22 file shall be **CLOSED**. 23 **DATED** this 31st of October, 2011. 24 s/Lonny R. Suko 25 LONNY R. SUKO 26 United States District Judge 27 28 ORDER OF DISMISSAL - 1